Homework 3

- 1. Which of the following sentences is a *substitution instance* of the sentence $P \rightarrow -Q$.
 - (a) $Q \rightarrow -P$
 - (b) $P \rightarrow R$
 - (c) $(P \rightarrow -Q) \rightarrow -(P \rightarrow -Q)$.
- 2. Write out a full truth table for the following sentence. Highlight in some way (e.g. draw a circle around) the column under the main connective of the sentence.

$$-(P \lor R)\&(-Q \to (P\&R))$$

- 3. Use truth tables to determine if the following arguments are valid. You do not need to show all of your work. But if an argument is not valid, give a truth-assignment that witnesses this fact.
 - (a) (1) $(P \rightarrow Q) \lor (Q \rightarrow R)$ (2) $-R \rightarrow -(P \& Q)$ // $Q \rightarrow -P$
 - (b) (1) $(P \lor Q) \rightarrow (R \lor S)$ (2) $P \leftrightarrow -(R\&S)$ (3) $Q \leftrightarrow -(P\&R)$ // $(S\&P) \rightarrow -(P \lor R)$
- 4. Determine whether each of the following sentences is consistent. If a sentence is consistent, give a truth-assignment relative to which the sentence is true.

(a)
$$(P \lor -Q) \to (P \leftrightarrow (Q \& R))$$

(b) $(-P \lor (-Q \to R)) \to ((P\&R) \to -Q)$

- 5. For each of the following pairs of sentences, determine whether the first sentence implies the second. If the implication fails to hold, give a truth-assignment that witnesses this fact.
 - (a) $(P\&Q) \leftrightarrow (Q\&R)$ $P \leftrightarrow Q$ (b) $P \leftrightarrow (Q \lor R)$ $-P \rightarrow (Q \leftrightarrow R)$
- 6. Write out a truth table for the *exclusive* sense of "or". That is, fill in the following table:

$$\begin{array}{c|c|c} P & Q & P \# Q \\ \hline T & T & \\ T & F & \\ F & T & \\ F & F & \\ \end{array}$$

where # denotes the exclusive "or" connective. Now write a sentence containing only $P, Q, \&, \lor$, and – that has the same truth table.