

Practice Midterm Exam

- Complete the following sentences.
 - An argument with premises A_1, \dots, A_n and conclusion B is valid if ...
 - A sentence A is an inconsistency if ...
- Short answer: Explain, using words and/or pictures, the \forall -Elimination rule of inference, including how dependency numbers are tabulated.
- Translate the following English sentences into sentence logic. Use the suggested letters for elementary sentences.
 - Fanny loves Edmund, but Edmund loves Miss Crawford. (F, E)
 - Fanny loves Mr. Crawford only if he helps her brother. (F, H)
 - If Fanny loves Mr. Crawford then Edmund is happy, unless Miss Crawford doesn't love him. (F, E, M)
- Prove the validity of the following arguments. You may use any of the rules of inference that we have learned.
 - $\neg P, \neg Q \vdash \neg(P \vee Q)$
 - $(P \rightarrow Q) \vee (P \rightarrow R) \vdash P \rightarrow (Q \vee R)$
 - $\vdash P \leftrightarrow (P \wedge (Q \vee \neg Q))$
- Is the the following sentence a tautology, an inconsistency, or a contingency? Justify your answer.
$$(\neg P \rightarrow P) \rightarrow (Q \rightarrow (R \rightarrow (S \rightarrow (T \rightarrow P))))$$
- True or false (justify your answer): There is an inconsistent sentence of the form $A \rightarrow B$, where A is a contingency.
- Does sentence (a) imply sentence (b)? Justify your answer.
 - $(P \vee Q) \rightarrow (R \vee S)$
 - $(P \rightarrow R) \vee (P \rightarrow S)$
- Is the English sentence connective "It is possible that ..." truth-functional? (e.g., "It is possible that Harvard will go bankrupt in the near future.") Justify your answer.
- Find a sentence A containing only \neg, \rightarrow, P, Q that has the truth table below:

P	Q	A
T	T	F
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	T

10. True or False (explain and justify your answer): There could be a correctly written proof with the following line fragments (where n is some number greater than 1):

1 (1) $(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow Q$ A

⋮

1 (n) $\neg P \rightarrow Q$