

Homework 8

- For each of the following sentences, give an interpretation with non-empty extension of “ Fxy ” that makes the sentence true, and another such interpretation that makes the sentence false. Arrow diagrams are sufficient — you don’t have to put your answer in set-theoretic notation.
 - $\exists x\exists y(Fxy \wedge Fyx) \wedge \forall x\forall y[\exists z(Fxz \wedge Fzy) \rightarrow Fxy]$
 - $\exists x\exists y(Fxy \leftrightarrow \neg Fyy)$
- For each of the following pairs of sentences, give an interpretation that shows that the first sentence does not imply the second. Arrow diagrams are sufficient — you don’t have to put your answer in set-theoretic notation.
 - $\exists x\forall y\neg Fxy \wedge \exists x\forall yFxy, \forall x(\exists yFxy \rightarrow \forall yFxy)$
 - $\forall y(\exists zFyz \rightarrow \exists zFzy), \forall y(\forall zFyz \rightarrow \forall zFzy)$
 - $\forall x\exists y(Fxy \wedge \neg Fyx), \forall x(\exists yFxy \rightarrow \exists yFyx)$
- Translate the following sentences into predicate logic notation, using the “ \equiv ” relation if appropriate.
 - Maren is the only student who hasn’t made a single mistake on any homework or exam. ($Px \equiv x$ has made some mistake on a homework or on an exam, $m \equiv$ Maren)
 - There is no greatest prime number. ($Fxy \equiv y$ is greater than x ; $Px \equiv x$ is a prime number)
 - The smallest prime number is even. ($Fxy \equiv y$ is greater than x ; $Px \equiv x$ is a prime number; $Ex \equiv x$ is even.)